

Report to Cabinet Petitions Committee

24 February 2021

Subject:	Petitions Progress Report
Contact Officer:	Trisha Newton Trsha_newton@sandwell.gov.uk

1 Recommendations







That the Cabinet Petitions Committee approve the action taken or proposed as details in the third column below.

2 Reasons for Recommendations

Petitions are one of the many ways in which people who live and work in the Borough can influence the decision making process. Many of the issues typically raised by petitions underpin the Council's Vision. Petitions alert members and officers to current local issues and ensure that services are being targeted appropriately in delivering the Council's priorities.



3 How does this deliver objectives of the Corporate Plan? (select relevant category and inc narrative how deliver)

	<p>Petitions are one of the many ways in which people who live and work in the Borough can influence the decision making process. Many of the issues typically raised by petitions underpin the Council's Vision. Petitions alert members and officers to current local issues and ensure that services are being targeted appropriately in delivering the Council's priorities.</p>
	
	
	
	
	

4 Context and Key Issues

Section 46 of the Localism Act 2011 removes the requirements for principal local authorities in England and Wales to make, publish and comply with a scheme for the handling of petitions made to the authority, with effect from 1 April 2012. At its meeting on 22 May 2012, the Council decided to retain a petitions scheme, although there was no longer a statutory requirement to have such a scheme.

5 Background Details

5.1 Petitions received since last reporting period

Signatories	Subject	Action Taken/Proposed
5.1.1 168 - Residents of Old Warley (Old Warley)	Request for pedestrian crossing system at Bleakhouse Road/Castle Road West Junction.	This matter is being investigated by officers and an update will be submitted to a future meeting. (Received 11/01/2021)



5.2 Progress on outstanding petitions

Signatories	Subject	Action Taken/Proposed
5.2.1 413 – various road users/ residents (Wednesbury North)	Request for traffic light system at the junction of Park Lane/Manor House Road and Hobs Road/ Hawthorn Road, Wednesbury	<p>The funding for road safety schemes such as traffic signals is prioritised where injury accidents are occurring. A five-year injury accident analysis shows there have been 3 recorded injury accidents during this period. This is low when compared to other locations that are being considered for major traffic calming schemes. Although this junction does not meet the criteria for the installation of traffic signals a road safety scheme to implement additional carriageway markings and vehicle activated speed signs either side of the junction will be undertaken. This will help to warn drivers and reduce vehicle speeds on the approach to the junction. The Committee requested the junction be monitored to ensure that the traffic calming measures made a difference. Arrangements have been made for a further traffic survey to be undertaken along Park Lane. The data will then be compared to the traffic survey which was undertaken prior to the installation of the vehicle activated speed sign. The traffic surveys had to be rearranged due to the pandemic which resulted in reduced traffic levels. This survey will be</p>



undertaken as soon as vehicle numbers return to normal levels. An update will be provided to a future meeting of the Cabinet Petitions Committee.
(Received 17/4/2019)

5.2.2 33 – residents of View Point, Tividale (Tividale)

Request for installation of night and day gates in the gulley located at View Point.

Greenbelt Group wish to assist in reducing anti-social behaviour and would not oppose the installation of gates in principle, if this represented the wishes of the 299 households which are currently billed in respect of the areas. However, Greenbelt Group have specified that the Council would need to be responsible for the maintenance thereafter, agree to indemnify Greenbelt Group in respect of the gates (e.g. to cover any injury) and agree to fund the removal of the gates, if and when required. The head petitioner has advised that residents are not prepared to contribute. As the land is not in Council ownership the Council cannot take on the liability or maintenance of the gates. No further action is proposed by the Council. Following representations, further investigation would be taken and a further report would be submitted to the Cabinet Petitions Committee at a future date.
(Received 5/3/2019)



5.2.3 79 – residents of Queens Road, Smethwick (Smethwick)	Concern regarding speeding and traffic accidents on Queens Road, Smethwick.	<p>A seven-day traffic survey has been undertaken for Queens Road between Basons Lane and the traffic island at the junction with Warley Road. It shows that 85% of vehicles are travelling at 32.8mph or less (includes both directions). A three-year injury collision analysis has also been undertaken and it shows there has been 1 recorded injury collision. When Queens Road is compared to other roads in Sandwell it has a very low number of injury collisions and does not therefore meet the criteria for the implementation of a traffic calming scheme.</p> <p>Although the vehicle speeds are slightly higher than the 30mph speed limit, this is still within the parameters of 35mph set by the Police for enforcement purposes. However, a carriageway lining scheme was implemented in October last year in response to enquiries received from residents at Queens Road. Following representations submitted to the Committee, a further traffic survey will be undertaken in spring/summer and compared to the survey results from the winter. Arrangements will be made for a traffic survey to be undertaken as soon as traffic levels return to normal.</p> <p>An update would be provided to a future meeting of the Cabinet</p>
--	---	--



5.3 Petitions requiring final approval

Signatories	Subject	Action Taken/Proposed
5.3.1 65 – residents of the Hateley Heath area (Hateley Heath)	Request for the SAPA building on Hateley Heath estate to be brought back into use for the community.	<p>Following an advertisement process in March, an expression of interest was received from a community organisation to re-open the building. The pandemic has delayed progress, however, officers are working to see if a plan for the building is possible. Detailed discussions have taken place with the head petitioner and the CEO of the community organisation that has formally bid for a voluntary body lease. The business plan was nearing completion and the organisation had confirmed they were happy to support a community offer from the building. The Investing in the Third and Community Sector Officers Group endorsed an outline agreement for a not for profit organisation to re-open the building. The next stage will be to outline the details and timescales for the use of the building via an agreement to lease, followed by a lease to the organisation. Officers from property services are now working on the terms for the lease.</p> <p>(Received 26/4/2019)</p>



5.3.2	Residents of Timbertree Crescent, Cradley Heath (Cradley Heath and Old Hill)	Concern regarding height of trees and damage to property.	Officers are currently in the process of commissioning contractors and confirm that the required work will be carried out by the end of March 2021. (Received 7/12/2020)
-------	--	---	---

6 Source Documents

Copies of petitions from various groups of residents (exempt information).

